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Abstract

There appears to be growing evidence that there is a relationship between major depressive disorder and inflammation. This study investigates the relationship between depression and C-Reactive Protein (CRP), a marker for inflammation. We utilize mono-treatment with Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT) as the independent variable in this investigation. To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore whether MBCT mono-treatment may affect an inflammatory biomarker in major depressive disorder. In an open trial, 11 participants had blood samples taken pre- and post-intervention. Results indicate that there significant decreases in CRP levels as well as depression severity ratings. These results suggest that further investigation with a randomized controlled trial could yield more substantial information about the relationship of inflammation and the psychotherapeutic treatment of major depression. This information may have implications for future targets of depression treatment.

Trial registration: CurrentTrials.gov Identifier; NCT02385786 Registered 10 March 2015.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRP</th>
<th>C-Reactive Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-17</td>
<td>Hamilton depression rating score-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Major Depressive Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBCT</td>
<td>Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Background

The role of inflammation in depression is being investigated in several directions. While it remains unclear whether inflammation precedes or is a consequence of the depressive state, many studies have shown increased inflammatory biomarkers in depressed patients compared to healthy controls [1-4]. We examined the effect of a psychotherapeutic intervention, Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT), on the acute phase protein C-Reactive Protein (CRP), a reliable biomarker of increased inflammation [2,5], in depressed patients without medical illness. While some studies have shown inflammatory biomarkers to return to normal after antidepressant treatment [6,7], and inflammatory markers to decrease in medically ill patients who underwent psychotherapeutic intervention [8,9], our preliminary investigation is the first to our knowledge that explores whether MBCT mono-treatment for Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) in individuals without medical illness may affect an inflammatory biomarker as well as mood.

The relationship between depression and inflammation is still evolving. Harley et al., [10] noted that elevated CRP is a predictor of good long-term outcomes with antidepressant treatment and poor outcome with psychotherapy, although there was only a small sample of individuals with high CRP. Dahl et al., [11] investigated cytokines and CRP in depressed individuals receiving nonpharmacological treatment with weekly support and psychotherapy as compared with those receiving antidepressants. Both samples had reductions in cytokines with recovery, the nonpharmacological sample significantly so. Neither sample had changes in CRP. Krogh et al., [12] investigated the effects of exercise on IL-6 and CRP in a depressed population. They noted that there were no significant changes in either parameter after 3 months. Clearly there is further explorations are needed.

Methods

This study was an open trial of MBCT as a mono-treatment for major depressive disorder. The primary design was a pre-post assessment of changes in depression severity. In addition, we collected blood samples at the same time points (pre- and post-intervention). All data were collected in 2012 at the Langley Porter Adult Psychiatry Clinic at the University of California, San Francisco. The blood samples were collected and stored at −70°C. We assessed inflammatory biomarkers using a ELISA assay. We used CRP as a marker for inflammation. We administered MBCT, a psychological intervention that has been shown to be effective for the treatment of major depression [13]. MBCT is a 10-week program that includes weekly group sessions and daily mindfulness exercises. Participants were 8 female and 3 male outpatients, aged 20 to 48 years old, who met DSM-IV diagnoses of MDD through evaluation in the Department of Psychiatry at the University of California, San Francisco. The primary diagnosis was Major Depressive Disorder. The secondary diagnosis was C-Reactive Protein (CRP), a reliable biomarker of increased inflammation [2,5], in depressed patients without medical illness. The mean age of the participants was 36.2 years (SD = 10.6). The mean CRP level was 3.0 mg/L (SD = 1.5). The mean Hamilton Depression Rating Score-17 (HAMD-17) was 28.3 (SD = 4.2). The mean duration of depression was 12.5 years (SD = 8.3). The mean duration of depression was 12.5 years (SD = 8.3). The mean duration of depression was 12.5 years (SD = 8.3).

Participants were 8 female and 3 male outpatients, aged 20 to 48 years old, who met DSM-IV diagnoses of MDD through evaluation with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV diagnosis. This instrument and other ratings were completed by a clinical assessor not involved in the intervention. Participants were not taking medications for depression for at least 3 months, were not in psychotherapy, and had minimum Hamilton Depression Rating Score-17 items (HAMD-17) scores of 17, indicating moderate to severe depressive symptoms upon...

entry into MBCT. Measures of depression severity and blood samples were collected pre- (T1) and post-MBCT intervention (T2). We used the HAMD$_D$$_{17}$ as the primary outcome measure and also evaluated the change in inflammation using the CRP following MBCT. We utilized the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report-16 (QIDS-SR$_{16}$) as a secondary outcome. We ruled out medical illness using a clinical review of systems. Participants were enrolled consecutively.

Depressed subjects participated in an 8-week MBCT structured group therapy intervention emphasizing mindfulness meditation training that included components of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy. Classes were taught in 8 weekly consecutive sessions that were 2 hours and 15 minutes in duration and were led by a psychiatrist and a master's level therapist. Both the curriculum and format were developed by Segal et al., [13] with modifications by Eisendrath et al., [14] for an actively depressed population. Patients were asked not to start other treatments during the intervention.

Blood samples were collected within one week before T1 and after T2 and subjects were assessed by clinical history to rule out any concurrent or recent infections. To standardize potential diurnal CRP variation, whole blood samples were collected via venipuncture between 10 am and noon. The blood was then centrifuged @ 400 rpm and the serum collected and stored at -80°C pending analysis. All samples were shipped on dry ice for analysis at the same time to Myriad RBM for Human Inflammation ELISA bioassay for high-sensitivity CRP. The normal Myriad RBM range for CRP is 0.28 to 10ug/mL.

Results and Discussion

The cohort's clinical characteristics are described in table 1. We note that the sample was predominantly female, similar to other depression studies. In addition, the sample was predominantly white, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. The sample had suffered depression for long periods and this may have motivated them to try a new approach to treatment so that this may also limit generalizability.

The mean change in CRP, HAMD$_D$$_{17}$, and QIDS-SR$_{16}$ between T1 and T2 were analyzed using student's one-sample t-tests for significance. Mean CRP decreased from 1.82 mg/L at T1 to 1.32 at T2 (t (20) = 2.21, p=0.0517). This represented an effect size of 0.66 (Cohen's d, moderately large). The HAMD-17 score decreased significantly from 18.55 to 9.36 (t (20) = 5.76, p<0.0001) with an effect size of 2.08 (Cohen's d, large). The QIDS-SR$_{16}$ showed a similar decrease from 21.90 to 11.88 (t (18) = 3.824, p=0.001) with an effect size of 0.85 (Cohen's d, large). These outcomes are portrayed in table 2.

To date, this is the first evidence that MBCT targeting depression has the potential to modulate inflammation in depressed patients without medical illness. CRP change from T1 to T2 was nearly significant (p=0.0517), and we expect that given a larger sample size, CRP change would have a better chance of meeting full significance. This finding raises many questions. If MBCT leads to decreased inflammation, how does it have this effect? Might change in inflammatory processes be the mediators of MBCT’s effects on depression, or might MBCT reduce depression with consequent decreased inflammatory processes? Our small sample size limited our assessment of correlation between CRP and HAMD-17 scores and may be why this finding was statistically not significant. Given the growing evidence that a subset of depressed individuals are likely to demonstrate increased inflammation [15,16], does inflammation level make an individual more or less sensitive to MBCT? Future research via a full, Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT) could address these questions as well as contribute to furthering the understanding of the pathophysiology of MDD.

CRP is an acute phase protein that responds to cytokines and gives evidence of inflammation. Cytokines have been shown to access the brain and cause negative effects on neurotransgeneis, neurotransmitter metabolism, and neuroendocrine function, all processes known to be involved in depression [7]. Research has shown that mindfulness meditation can also affect the brain through each of these domains [17-22]. How mindfulness meditation brings about its effects in these domains, and whether this involves inflammatory cytokines remains unclear. Kaliman et al., [23] found decreased pro-inflammatory gene (RIPK2 and COX2) expression in meditators compared with controls. Malarkey et al., [24] found that a mindfulness-based intervention for an employee program was associated with a decrease in CRP compared to controls that approached significance (p=0.08). If change in inflammation mediates MBCT effects on depression, it may be that MBCT affects inflammatory cytokines via similar mechanisms as delineated by Kaliman et al., [23] and Malarkey et al., [24].

Conclusion

This pilot study suggests that MBCT may modulate changes in CRP as reductions in depression severity occur. The study has several limitations. The sample was small, not randomized, and potentially affected by self-selection bias. Given the lack of a control group, changes in CRP or depression severity cannot be attributed with certainty to MBCT. Without a control group it is not possible to assess whether changes in depression may have mediated changes in CRP or vice versa. It is unknown if changes might have occurred naturally or
due to other factors, such as the large age range of the sample. Without a randomized sample, we were also unable to evaluate the role of MBCT’s putative mediators such as mindfulness meditation, enhanced self-compassion, decreased rumination, and perceived stress in decreasing inflammation. This investigation provides preliminary evidence for shaping more personalized and precise treatment by incorporating inflammatory marker information as a potential target of intervention, and it lays the groundwork for a more complete evaluation through a RCT. Such a trial would allow a formal mediational analysis to more clearly understand the mechanisms involved.
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