The Availability of Information on Impaired Renal Function in the Community Pharmacy, A Descriptive Pilot Study
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Abstract

Aim
Renal function is associated with medication errors and related severe adverse events. The study’s objective was to explore the availability of renal function tests in Dutch community pharmacies to be used for medication safety surveillance in accordance with data sharing regulations of clinical laboratory tests as of August 1, 2013.

Methods
A retrospective cross sectional descriptive study was performed using data from the PHARMO Database Network (including general practitioner, community pharmacy and clinical laboratory data). Patients with an impaired renal function (<60 MDRD ml/min/1.73m²) without the use of risk medication, or patients with an impaired renal function (<50 MDRD ml/min/1.73m²) with the use of risk medication were selected from five community pharmacies included in the PHARMO Database Network and data collection on site (in the community pharmacy) was performed to determine the available information at the pharmacy.

Results
549 patients with a reduced kidney function were included in this study of which 273 without risk medication and 276 with risk medication. For 37% of patients with available information on impaired renal function in the PHARMO Database Network, this information was also available in community pharmacies. This was 52% for patients using risk medication with an impaired renal function. Percentages in the presence of available information varied from 16% to 77% between pharmacies.

Conclusion
The presence of available information on renal function in community pharmacies was insufficient for patients with renal impairment. This hinders effective medication surveillance. The variation in information present in the pharmacies might depend on the willingness of patients to share laboratory measures with their community pharmacists as well as the willingness of prescribers and laboratories to share the information. Future research should examine which factors are independently associated with the presence of available information and should be used for interventions focusing on improving information exchange with the community pharmacy.
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Introduction

Unintended and adverse drug effects due to medication errors are a major source of potentially avoidable hospitalisations. Several studies performed the past 20 years estimated a range in the percentage of hospital admissions between 3 and 10% of which 50% were potentially avoidable [1]. In The Netherlands, about 5% of all unplanned hospital admissions have been associated with suboptimal drug use of which 40-46% was potentially preventable [2]. Other Dutch studies reported annually 41,000 medication related hospital admissions of which 16,000 admissions were potentially preventable [3]. From the patients with potentially preventable admissions nearly 7% died due to medication errors [2,4,5]. Knowledge about factors associated with medication errors and related severe adverse events offers targets for prevention. One of these factors is impaired renal function, because it alters the excretion of renally cleared drugs and their metabolites, which can lead to modifications in their distribution, transport and biotransformation, hence while chronic renal failure can also affect the pharmacodynamics of certain drugs [6]. To avoid excessive accumulation of drugs and active drug metabolites in patients with impaired kidney function, dosage changes or therapeutics alternatives are necessary [6,7]. A recent study showed that impaired renal function was most likely associated with 10% of the potentially preventable hospital admissions [8].

To improve drug safety in patients with impaired renal function expert knowledge and triage commonly used employed by community and hospital pharmacists is required. Actual information on the renal function and drug use has to be available at drug prescribing and dispensing. In The Netherlands community pharmacists have insight in the actual patient medication and have an important function in medication surveillance [9]. Most Dutch patients visit one community pharmacy [10]. Until 2011, automated medication surveillance in community pharmacies was based on information on drugs in current use only as information on laboratory parameters of diagnosis was not routinely available. A recent study conducted in The Netherlands showed that medication errors were detected in 15% of the patients with a eGFR < 40ml/min/1.73m² and that the majority of the errors...
required medication adjustments as recommended by the pharmacist [11]. Other studies have reported similar statistics regarding inappropriate prescribing in a population with renal impairment [12,13]. To improve medication surveillance in order to avoid hospitalisations due to unintended drug effects caused by renal impairment, Dutch law was altered in 2011, making the sharing of information on kidney function between general practitioners and pharmacist obligatory. Dutch pharmacists were permitted by law to request the results from laboratory measurements, including kidney function for patients to whom they dispense medication. Pharmacists were then obliged to provide pharmacists with the requested information [14]. In 2013, the law was further adapted. Since then, health care professionals (medical specialists and general practitioners) have to actively inform pharmacists on laboratory measurements from their patients with an impaired renal function [15]. In addition, the community pharmacist may continue to ask information on kidney function from a prescriber when this is deemed relevant for drug dispensing. Despite these new legislation however, there is no systematic disposition to provide community pharmacists with this essential information in a systematic way. Furthermore, the pharmacist depends on other professionals to receive this information. At this moment it is unknown to what extent community pharmacists have information that is actually available on reduced kidney function of their patients at their disposal.

The main objective of this descriptive study is to explore the availability of renal function tests in Dutch community pharmacies to be used for medication safety surveillance in accord with data sharing regulations of clinical laboratory tests as of August 1, 2013.

Methods

The PHARMO database network

A retrospective cross sectional descriptive study was conducted using data from the PHARMO Database Network. The PHARMO Database Network is a dynamic population based network including multiple patient centric observational databases. Databases relevant for this study are: the Clinical Laboratory Database Community (outpatient) Pharmacy Database and the General Practitioners Database. These databases are linked on a patient level through validated algorithms [16].

Measure of information availability

Data on laboratory assessments of renal function were obtained from the Clinical Laboratory Database and the General Practitioner Database of the PHARMO Database Network. This data was used as golden standard.

Selection of patients

All patients who were registered in the designated, geodemographic catchment area of five community pharmacies (population size ~ 50,000, which were chosen based on the overlap between their patient population and the population with information available in the Clinical Laboratory Database and General Practitioner Database) and were available in the PHARMO Database Network were selected. Data concerning renal function tests (creatinine and MDRD) of these patients were extracted from the designated pharmacies themselves (I), general practitioners (II) and clinical laboratories (III).

Furthermore, patients had to meet the following criteria: [1] Patients were between 20 and 95 years of age, [2] patients needed to have at least one renal function test (MDRD measurement) recorded in either in the Clinical Laboratory Database or in the General Practitioner Database between January 1st 2013 and July 1st 2014.

Of these patients, two groups of patients were selected [1]. Patients with an impaired renal function (<60 MDRD ml/min/1.73m2) and without a dispensed drug that could impair renal function or that was contraindicated for use in patients with impaired renal function (‘risk medication’) [2]. Patients with an impaired renal function (<50 MDRD ml/min/1.73m2) and with ‘risk medication’. A list of drugs contraindicated in impaired renal function (‘risk medication’) was available from the Dutch Association for the Advancement [17].

For impaired renal function two threshold values were used, as threshold values for impaired renal function vary between guidelines. A MDRD < 60 ml/min/1.73m2 is used as threshold value for impaired renal function in various guidelines focusing on detection and treatment of impaired renal function [18-20]. A MDRD < 50 ml/min/1.73m2 is used as threshold value for impaired renal function in relation to required medication adjustments [17,21]. In the Dutch legislation of July 2013, in which the exchange of information on lab values regarding impaired renal function is regulated, impaired kidney function is defined as a MDRD < 50 ml/min/1.73m2 [15]. However, in the legislation of 2011 no specific threshold values were mentioned. As the information availability was assessed for the period January 2013 up to July 2014, it is uncertain which threshold value (< 60 of < 50) for impaired renal function was used by the health care providers (during the period January 2013-July 2013). Therefore a MDRD < 60 ml/min/1.73m2 was used for a subgroup of patients without risk medication, to meet both guidelines. A MDRD < 50 ml/min/1.73m2 was used for a subgroup of patients with risk medication, because this threshold value is more in line with the guideline which is in relation with medication adjustments.

The patients were grouped per sample pharmacy. From each subgroup a random sample of up to 70 patients was drawn, resulting in a maximum of 140 patients per pharmacy to restrict the work load associated with the data collection on site (in the community pharmacy). If less than 70 patients were available, no random sample was drawn (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Patient selection.

**Laboratory DB=Clinical Laboratory Database
**GP DB=General Practitioners Database

Definitions

The use of risk medication in patients with impaired renal function was defined as the use of drugs, within the year after a MDRD test with a value below 50 ml/min/1.73m2, which 1) requiring special attention
in renal impairment, 2) have special features in renal impairment, 3) have controversies surrounding their use in renal impairment, 4) have new insights concerning their use in renal impairment or 5) have narrow therapeutic window [21] (Appendix 1, Table 1).

Medication use was extracted from the Pharmacy Database. Patient at risk for renal impairment were defined as patients of 70 years or older, with a diagnosis of diabetes (ICPC code: T90.02 for diabetes mellitus type II), hypertension (ICPC code: K86.00) or cardiovascular disease (CVD: ICPC codes: K74.00, K75.00, K76.00, K77.00, K89.00, K90.00, K90.02, K90.03, K92.00 and K99.0) [22]. Renal function had to be assessed at/or before the index date, which was defined as the date of the first kidney function measurement in the study period.

### Analyses

The presence of available information on impaired renal function in the community pharmacies was calculated and presented in frequency distributions. Furthermore, the overall information availability per pharmacy was determined. Finally, per pharmacy the presence of available information was calculated a) among patients with and b) without selected risk medication and in patients according to age, gender and co-morbidities. The percentage of patients with available information on impaired renal function in the community pharmacy was calculated as: the number of patients with a known MDRD value in the community pharmacy (numerator) relative to the total number of patients with a registered MDRD value in the PHARMO General Practitioner Database or Clinical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacy characteristics</th>
<th>Pharmacy I</th>
<th>Pharmacy II</th>
<th>Pharmacy III</th>
<th>Pharmacy IV</th>
<th>Pharmacy V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients in the pharmacy*</td>
<td>10,004</td>
<td>5,021</td>
<td>9,704</td>
<td>8,850</td>
<td>4,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Located in a health care centre</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population size of city in which the pharmacy is located</td>
<td>12,815</td>
<td>217,895</td>
<td>88,800</td>
<td>141,895</td>
<td>141,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of information system with regard to lab values</td>
<td>If GP and pharmacist share the same information system, requested lab tests can be automatically transferred from the GP's system to the pharmacist system</td>
<td>If GP and pharmacist share the same information system, requested lab tests can be automatically transferred from the GP's system to the pharmacist system</td>
<td>If GP and pharmacist share the same information system, the patients' medical record, including lab values, kept by the GP can be viewed</td>
<td>Lab values are entered manually in the patients file</td>
<td>If GP and pharmacist share the same information system, the patients' medical record, including lab values, kept by the GP can be viewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration forms</td>
<td>GP's</td>
<td>GP's</td>
<td>GP's and hospital laboratory</td>
<td>GP's</td>
<td>GP's</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1: Characteristics of the five participating community pharmacies and patient characteristics within the random sample per community pharmacy

*assessed in December 2014

GP=General Practitioner
CVD=Cardiovascular Disease

---

Laboratory Database (denominator), which was used as golden standard.

Results

In total 549 patients with a reduced kidney function were included in this study of which 273 without risk medication and 276 with risk medication. Of these, 139 were included for Pharmacy I, and 140 for Pharmacy IV (maximum number of 140 patients could be included per pharmacy). In the other pharmacies less than 140 patients were available. From the originally selected 138 patients in pharmacy III, 4 patients could not be retraced in the PHARMO Database Network because their personal unique identifier number had been reassigned. In 4% of the patients (N=24) risk medication use status was reclassified, leading to a small deviation from the original number of patients with and without risk medication use.

Table 1 describes the characteristics of the study population and the pharmacies. Sex was evenly distributed in the random samples of pharmacies I-III, whereas in the random samples of pharmacies IV and V 69% and 82% of the population was female. In pharmacies I to IV about 60% of the patients included in the random sample was 70 years or older, whereas in pharmacy V this was 90%. Furthermore, differences were observed in the percentage of patients with CVD, hypertension and diabetes in the random samples of the different pharmacies.

In Table 2, the results regarding the presence of available information on renal function in the community pharmacy are presented per subgroups (according to risk medication use) and stratified by patient characteristics. The number of patients with available information on impaired renal function in the community pharmacy (numerator) is presented relative to the number of patients with information available on impaired renal function as registered in the PHARMO Database Network (denominator), the percentage of patients using risk medication with a present information on reduced renal function ranged from 25% to 74% per pharmacy. In patients older than 70 years the percentage of present information was higher than in younger patients (20-70 years old). In patients with Comorbidities (CVD, hypertension or diabetes) the percentage of present information was higher than in patients without comorbidities.

The average presence of available information on impaired renal function in all 5 subsamples pooled together was 37%. The average information presence in patients with risk medication was 52%. The percentage of present information was higher in older than in younger patients (43% vs 25%), in patients with than without CVD (63% vs 30%), with and without hypertension (44% vs 35%) and with than without diabetes (56% vs 35%).

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that presence of available information on impaired renal function in community pharmacies was far from complete. On average, information on impaired renal function was available in the community pharmacies for 37% of the study population.

The percentages of the presence of available information on impaired renal function varied between the pharmacies from 16% to 77%. This variation might be due to differences in the extent and forms of collaboration of the pharmacists with GPs and/or clinical laboratories as reported by the participating pharmacists, e.g., the information system used (including the technical possibilities to exchange information on kidney function between GPs and pharmacists). Furthermore the variation in information on renal impairment present in the pharmacies might depend on the willingness of patients to share laboratory measures with their community pharmacists as well as the willingness of prescribers and laboratories to share the information.

Some awareness to share this information for risk patients or users of risk medication was noted as the percentage of present information on renal function was higher for these patients (52%). Differences were observed in the information availability according to patient characteristics. In our study we found that the percentage of available information on impaired renal function was higher in patients of old age and in patients with selected Comorbidities (CVD, hypertension and diabetes). Patients of old age, with CVD, hypertension and diabetes are considered at increased risk of reduced renal function. GPs are advised in their guidelines to detect renal impairment in these patients at an early stage [18-20]. Based on this information dosages or therapy regimen can be adapted to individual patient's needs. The increased awareness to detect patients with renal impairment and the re-evaluation of the medication regimen in these patients might be an explanation for the higher availability of information on impaired renal function in the community pharmacy for these patients. However, even for those patients information on renal function to perform proper medication surveillance was insufficiently complete.

Besides, other quality aspects of the information as the completeness, actuality and the correctness with the values measured are crucial for proper medication surveillance. When taking these parameters also into account, the percentage of information availability in community pharmacies might be even lower.

Finally, the patient's consent, which is required for exchange of information about the kidney function, could be a limitation factor [23]. This may hinder the information exchange.

However, it can be concluded that the implementation of the legal obligation to share this knowledge with community pharmacists should be improved. The availability of information on impaired renal function in the community pharmacies is important as this precondition for pharmacists to perform medication surveillance.

Strengths and Limitations

In this study, we only traced information on the presence of available information on renal function in a subset of five community pharmacies located in the PHARMO Database Network. These pharmacies were chosen based on the overlap between their patient population and the population with information available in the Clinical Laboratory Database and the General Practitioner Database. Possibly pharmacies in areas for which the PHARMO Database Network disposes over data shared from different sources the collaboration between health care providers and laboratories is already better. Thus our results may rather over-than underestimate the general situation in The Netherlands. Furthermore, the pharmacists of the selected pharmacies were willing to participate. It might be that these pharmacies were better in obtaining information on impaired kidney function.

The information availability was assessed for the period January 2013 to July 2014. It was assumed that a recorded MDRD measurement in the community pharmacy became available to the
The strength of this study is that we offer insight into the daily practice regarding the presence of available information on impaired renal function in Dutch community pharmacies. Furthermore, data for the study were obtained from the PHARMO Database Network in The Netherlands. This population-based network combines data from different healthcare settings, including general practitioner and clinical laboratory. Data sources are linked on a patient level through validated algorithms.

Implications

The study findings indicate that for 63% of the subjects with information available on impaired renal function this information was not present in the community pharmacy. For 48% of the subjects with risk medication this information could not be used for proper medication surveillance. Medication surveillance is crucial in patients with renal impairment and especially in those using risk medication since non-compliance to dose adjustments in patients with renal impairment is common and medication errors and dosing difficulties occur frequently (Franke et al., 2000; Yap et al., 2005; Desrochers et al., 2011, Minutolo et al., 2008).

Recommendations

More efforts should be made to share information on impaired renal function between prescribers and community pharmacists. With more insight into the barriers of the implementation for this, these could be addressed directly. There might be barriers concerning the willingness of patients to share the results from their laboratory measurements with community pharmacists and concerns from prescribers to do so. Furthermore, technical support to share information between laboratory, prescribers and community pharmacies in an easy accessible way might be a barrier. The efforts required to collect the information needed already showed that information on renal function was not easily available in the health care setting.

Possibly technical barriers will be diminished by a new technical development are regional data sharing points ('Landelijk Schakel Punt') for electronically exchange of laboratory measurements.
between laboratories, prescribers and pharmacies [25]. Other recommendations to increase the information availability are to allow pharmacists to retain the kidney tests by themselves or creating Electronic Medical Record (EMR) alerts. As an incentive, pharmacists who implement this could get remuneration.

Conclusion

Since January 1, 2013, health care professionals have to actively inform pharmacists on laboratory measurements from their patients with an impaired renal function. This study shows that the presence of available information on renal function is far from complete in community pharmacies. This will hinder proper medication surveillance in risk patients.
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### Appendix 1: Risk medication, medication that require an action for a MDRD value < 50 ml/min/1.73m² for monitoring, dose adaptation or drug cessation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ATC code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>A10BA02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combinations of metformin with other oral blood glucose lowering substances: A10BD02, A10BD03, A10BD05, A10BD07, A10BD08, A10BD10, A10BD11, A10BD13, A10BD14, A10BD15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>C10AA05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotalol</td>
<td>C07AA07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopurinil</td>
<td>M04AA01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitors / All antagonists</td>
<td>ACE inhibitors=C09A, C09B, ACE antagonists=C09C, C09D except fosinopril</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>C03DA01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>J01MA12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
<td>J05AF07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin</td>
<td>N03AX12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>J05AF05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>N05AN01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dabigatran</td>
<td>B01AE07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivaroxaban</td>
<td>B01AX06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>