Structural Analysis of the Human Tibia by pQCT
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Abstract

Purpose

The general anatomy of the tibia has long been known, but close microscopic biometric analysis of its structure has only recently been possible. We wished to study this bone because of the numerous injuries observed during the traumatology, car’s injury or the deck-slap effect observing during war surgery.

Materials and methods

In order to better understand the mechanism of these injuries, we aimed to map out the tibia to examine more closely the distribution of cortical bone according to several parameters. We retrospectively studied 67 tibias using a high-definition scanner and compared our results with those of the literature.

Results

In the tibial metaphysis, we observed very high bone density, circularity close to 1, thin cortical bone and the greatest diameters. In the diaphysis, we observed a decrease in periosteal and intramedullary diameters, a marked increase in cortical thickness and a change in tibial architecture together with a marked decrease in circularity. These results were similar to those of the literature.

Discussion

The tibia is subjected to multidirectional forces. It is commonly accepted that each part of the tibia cannot resist all stresses and consequently there is a distribution of resistances in each part of the tibia. The epiphyseal part is subjected to compression forces, whereas the diaphyseal part is more subjected to bending and torsion forces.

Conclusion

Our study revealed a significant difference between men and women (P < 0.001) showing a discriminant effect of sex on cortical thickness, internal diameter and external diameter of the tibia.
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such as CT scan, other authors have proposed indicators for better in vivo definition of tibial structure [5]. During the 2000s, the improved power and definition of scanners led to the multiplication of studies on this subject [1,6-12].

We studied a retrospective series of tibias using a high-performance scan device. Our initial intention was to compare our findings with those of the recent literature. We defined study indicators and analyzed them and any relationships between them before comparing our results with those of the literature. The aim was to characterize this bone according to certain parameters.

Our ultimate aim will be to study the forces that are exerted during violent trauma to the tibia. Knowledge of the composition and adaptation of the tibia to normal and shear forces is an indispensable prelude to the study of its behavior under extreme forces.

Materials and Methods

Our study sample was made up of 67 tibias distributed as follows: 50 men of mean age 66.12 years (21-93 years) and 17 women of mean age 60.94 years (37-89 years). We retrospectively collected 67 scans obtained by peripheral Quantitative Computed Tomography (pQCT). These 67 scans obtained by pQCT in patients undergoing investigation for overt pathology (ex traumatic injury). All patients had no previous tibial fracture or existing bone disease. Any scan that did not enable full study of the tibia was excluded. The disparity between the numbers of men and women was due to the fact that our institution is a military hospital and so we were not able to recruit an ideally representative sample. However, there is any relationship between bone morphology and these injuries.

A recent high-performance scan device (GE LightSpeed VCT 64-slice, General Electric, Milwaukee Wisconsin, USA) was used for image acquisition. It was used in conformity with the manufacturer’s instructions and the cumulative doses of radiation per patient did not exceed 0.9 uSV. The advantage of this scanner was the number, and so the thickness, of each bone slice.

Each tibia was segmented into sections of less than 0.5 mm for more coherence analysis. We examined the sections at every 5% of the total tibial length. For each bone, we took measurements from the section sited at 5% of its total length (designated S5) to the section sited at 95% of its total length (designated S95). The aim was to obtain standardized measurements to enable better analysis and comparison with the literature.

As anatomical indicators, we selected the periosteal or external diameter, and the endocortical or internal diameter of the tibia. Cortical thickness was calculated as the difference between the two diameters. The circularity of each bone section was defined as a ratio between the Anteroposterior (A/P) and Mediolateral (M/L) external diameters. To define the shape of the tibia, we chose to use a diameter ratio, which does not exactly correspond to circularity in the sense of Teague’s publication [13].

Statistical analysis was carried out using R software (R-Development Core Team, 2013) [14]. The Student tests were used to determine the significances of differences in the means. All tests were considered significant at the 5% threshold.

Results

Analysis of the circularity of tibial bone sections showed a variation (Figure 1). The lowest value of circularity (0.70) was reached at S30. Values close to 1 (perfect circularity) were obtained between S5 and S10 and then from S80 onwards. Values were constantly close to minimal values between S15 and S65.

Cortical thickness were higher between S5 and S45/S50 and then were lower up to S95 (Figure 2). Maximum values were obtained between S45 and S50. Minimum values were obtained at the metaphysis (S5 to S10 and S80 to S95).

Two periosteal (external) tibial diameters were studied: the external mediolateral and external anteroposterior diameters (Figures 3 and 4). Mediolateral diameter were lower from S5 to S60 (minimum) and then again were higher up to S95. The lowest values were obtained in the diaphysis between S30 and S80. Anteroposterior diameter showed different values, with lowest values at S80. The highest values were found in the metaphysis, but we observed a progressive decrease from S10 to S80, then an increase beginning from the metaphyseal area. These values differed from the mediolateral values as they decreased between S10 and S80, and did not follow a curvilinear path.

Two endocortical (internal) diameters were studied: the internal anteroposterior diameter and the internal mediolateral diameter (Figures 5 and 6). The internal mediolateral diameter were lower from S5 to S50, and then again higher from S70 to S95. The lowest values were obtained between S45 and S75, corresponding to the tibial diaphysis. The internal anteroposterior diameter had a shape and values close to those of the mediolateral diameter. The lowest values were obtained between S50 and S65 (tibial diaphysis) and the highest values were always in the metaphyseal area.
Analysis of sex as a discriminant factor in cortical thickness, internal diameter and external diameter showed a significant difference between men and women (P < 0.001).

**Discussion**

Our analytical sample is comparable with those of the literature [1,6-12]. The number of subjects and their mean age were similar. However, our sex ratio was different, due to recruitment bias. Nearly all individuals in our sample were Caucasian, as in another study with a similar objective [12].

The tibia has to bear the weight of the body and is subjected to multidirectional forces (anteroposterior compression, torsion and bending, and mediolateral bending). The tibia consists of several parts (epiphyses-metaphyses-diaphysis) and each must bear a series of forces. It is commonly accepted that each part of the tibia cannot resist all forces, and so resistance is distributed differently in each part [1]. The epiphyseal and metaphyseal part (corresponding to S5-S15 and S80-S95) is subjected to compression forces. The diaphyseal part (corresponding to S20 to S75) is more subject to anteroposterior bending forces and mediolateral torsion forces. The structure and resistance of these parts of the tibia are designed to bear the forces exerted.

Our overall results were similar to those of the literature [1,6-12]. At the metaphysis, between S5 and S15/S20, the tibia has low resistance values to torsion and bending forces [1,3,12,15-20]. Cappoza et al., showed at the S15 site the diaphyseal design and the values [1]. This reflects adaptation of this distal part of the tibia to compression forces. Some authors found that measurement of cortical density and of the second moment of inertia (Cross-Sectional Moments of Inertia, CSMI) were lowest around S15 [1,12,15,16,21]. The CSMI measures the structural efficacy of a bone section in resisting bending and torsion forces. The higher the CSMI values, the greater the resistance. So, at this level, the tibia presents high bone density, circularity close to 1, low cortical thickness and the greatest diameters. We corroborated these findings.

At the tibial diaphysis, the increase in bone density from S15 to S45 shows progressive adaptation to bending and torsion forces [1,12,15,16,21]. The greater the bending and torsion forces, the lower the circularity and bone density [1-3,5,7,16,17,22-24]. Some authors consider that adaptation is gradual and non-global, with successive adaptation to torsion forces, then to anteroposterior bending and to lateral bending [1]. The leg muscles inserting at this point cause stresses that play a part in modeling the tibia and so in its adaptation [1,21]. In our study, we observed this decrease in the periosteal and internal diameter of the tibia, with a marked increase of cortical thickness related to marked decrease in circularity.

Progressively from S45 onwards, we observed an increase in internal and external diameters and a decrease in thickness. Our study revealed anatomical reorganization of the tibia, with a return to circularity. In parallel, other studies noted an increase in bone density and in CSMI [1,12,15,16,21]. This reflects adaptation of the proximal metaphyseal part of the tibia to compression forces [1]. Some authors consider that these compression forces divide into two paths at the level of the tibial plateau [1].

On the basis of these findings, we can reconsider the hypotheses concerning structural adaptation of tibial bone to its environment [1].

Towards the distal third of the tibia, there seems to be an adaptation to compression forces [1,3,12,15-20]. Below S15, analysis of bone density shows that the bone is mainly cancellous, perfectly adapted to compression forces [12]. Spiral fractures are relatively frequent at this level, rather than compression fractures [7,16,22].

We then observed a change in the composition and bone structure of the tibia. The internal and external diameters decreased, while cortical thickness and bone density increased. A recent Norwegian study has shown that cortical bone was dense and in theory resistant.
at this level, but that its diameter was relatively small [25,26]. This demonstrates adaptation of the middle third of the tibia to bending and torsion stresses. This is linked to the frequency of compression and torsion fractures at this level [22]. An epidemiological study showed a high fracture rate of the middle third of the tibia in young men (particularly in the age range 20-40 years) who practiced sports (notably skiing), with compression and/or torsion fractures [22]. This Swiss study demonstrated a very different fracture rate in women, with homogeneous distribution between the ages of 20-40 years. However, a biomechanical enigma still remains: what is the reason for the low rate of tibial fractures in the elderly even though this population is exposed to the risk of osteoporosis? [10,13,22].

Above S45, we observed another change in tibial density and bone structure. Cortical thickness decreased, while internal and external diameters increased. This is cancellous bone that is adapted to transmission of compression forces to the knee through the two tibial plateaux [1,27].

Comparing the findings in the male and female populations of our sample, we observed a significant difference (P < 0.001). This is coherent with the literature [1,6-12]. However, the recruiting bias related to our status as a military hospital tends to qualify our results. Men were much more numerous than women in our sample. To obtain reliable results, representative samples of the population both in sex ratio and in age would be needed. We decided to test our results in spite of this bias, in order to compare our results in relation to the literature.

We did not observe the very high cortical density in premenopausal women that has been found in other studies [7,12,15,24]. Estrogen hormones inhibit external growth, whereas androgen hormones have the opposite effect. These androgen hormones, present at higher levels in men, also promote muscle growth. This would explain why bone is markedly more developed in men, as it is subject to greater muscular stresses and to hormonal influences promoting growth [2]. As for the same bone density bones of small size have less resistance to fractures than larger bones, any change in their structure (loss of density due to osteoporosis, for example) may have different consequences [6]. In this event, the fragility of small-sized bones is exacerbated [6].

Conclusion

Our study confirmed recent findings in tibial bone biometrics. With evolution and a vertical stance, the tibia had to adapt to external forces. This adaptation was reflected in a gradual change in stress distribution over the entire tibia. In the epiphysis, compression forces led to a change in architecture, with increased bone volume and a composition of cancellous bone. However, in the diaphysis, bending and torsion forces resulted in a decrease in bone diameter and a high level of cortical bone.

The great advantage of modern imaging techniques is their safety and the possibility of in vivo studies. On the other hand, their use is restricted by their cost. This explains the retrospective nature of our study. The sample population was largely male as our institution is a military hospital.

A prospective multicenter study with a wider population (ideal target population) appears to be the best means of obtaining reliable results. However, although our study was retrospective, it demonstrated the sexual dimorphism of tibial bone structure.

At term, the objective of our work is to enable study of the behavior of the tibia in response to extreme distal compression forces. The deck-slap effect is an issue that raises a problem of management because of the severity of the resulting injuries [28]. Understanding of the adaptation of the tibia to habitual forces during gait is the indispensable prelude to studying how this bone behaves in response to extreme distal compression forces.
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