

## Review Article

# Morphology-Dependent Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticle-Induced Keratinocyte Toxicity and Exacerbation of Allergic Contact Dermatitis

Brian C Palmer<sup>1</sup> and Lisa A De Louise<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, New York, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA

### Abstract

Titanium Dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles are commonly found in consumer products, such as sunscreens, and human dermal exposures are relatively high. Research suggests potential differences in the toxicity of anatase and rutile crystalline forms of TiO<sub>2</sub>. Additionally, transition metal dopants are frequently used to enhance physicochemical properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> and the toxicity of these nanoparticles is not extensively studied. Therefore, this work examined the keratinocyte toxicity and *in vivo* skin allergy responses after treatment with 30 nm anatase, 30 nm rutile, or <100 nm Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. After a 24-hour exposure, there were no differences in keratinocyte cytotoxicity; however, Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles induced significant *in vitro* ROS generation and *in vivo* skin swelling responses in a model of allergic contact dermatitis.

### Introduction

Titanium dioxide crystalline particles have been used as food and consumer product colorants for decades [1]. The unique photochemical properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> particles are also utilized in solar cells, water/air

**\*Corresponding author:** Lisa A De Louise, Department of Environmental Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, New York, USA, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA, Department of Dermatology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA Tel: +1 5852751810; Email: Lisa\_DeLouise@urmc.rochester.edu

**Citation:** Palmer BC, De Louise LA (2020) Morphology-Dependent Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticle-Induced Keratinocyte Toxicity and Exacerbation of Allergic Contact Dermatitis. J Toxicol Cur Res 4: 019.

**Received:** June 30, 2020; **Accepted:** July 10, 2020; **Published:** July 17, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Palmer BC, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

remediation technologies, and sunscreens [2-6]. The two most common crystalline forms of TiO<sub>2</sub> are anatase and rutile [7]. Furthermore, transition metal dopants, such as manganese, are commonly added during the TiO<sub>2</sub> manufacturing process to modify physicochemical properties [8-13]. These occupationally relevant, metal-doped nanomaterials are not typically encountered outside of industrial settings. Alternatively, consumer dermal exposures to anatase and rutile TiO<sub>2</sub> in sunscreens are relatively high [1]. The use of TiO<sub>2</sub>-based sunscreens was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) in 1999 [14]. Modern inorganic ultraviolet light filters are nano-sized to provide a more attractive cosmetic appearance, compared to the opaque look of micron-sized particles. Due to increasing human exposures to TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles (NPs), research into the potential dermal toxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs has expanded.

Variations in the crystal structure of anatase and rutile TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs lead to differences in photochemical properties and cytotoxicity [7]. Multiple studies have observed increased cytotoxicity of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs, compared to the rutile morphology [15,16]. Specifically, Sayes et al. [17], exposed human dermal fibroblasts to 3-5 nm anatase or rutile TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs, and observed increased cytotoxicity in anatase treated samples [17]. These findings are often associated with increases in intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Furthermore, the interaction of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs with ultraviolet radiation increases ROS generation and leads to decreases in keratinocyte viability, which is often markedly higher in anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>-induced phototoxicity reactions [18-20]. While these observations are common in *in vitro* models, *in vivo* TiO<sub>2</sub> dermal toxicity is less common, due to limited NP skin penetration [21-23]. Therefore, the uses of inorganic ultraviolet light filters are still recommended by most physicians and government agencies, despite the toxicological concerns surrounding TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs [24].

There are relatively few studies examining TiO<sub>2</sub> toxicity in both healthy skin and models of dermatitis. The skin barrier defect induced by irritant or allergic contact dermatitis could enhance NP interaction with viable skin cells and lead to dermal toxicity. Additionally, there are few toxicological studies of topically applied metal-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs, which may be relevant for occupational exposures. Previously, our lab has observed exacerbation of 2, 4-dinitrofluorobenzene induced ear swelling responses after topical application of Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs [25]. The work presented here builds on those previous findings, and compares the keratinocyte toxicity and ear swelling responses of pure anatase, pure rutile, or Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models.

### Results

#### TiO<sub>2</sub> physical characterization


The toxicities of anatase, rutile, or anatase dominant transition metal-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs were compared in multiple *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. The anatase and rutile TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs both had vendor reported physical diameters of 30 nm. However, the hydrodynamic diameters of the anatase and rutile NPs were

1170 ± 130.4 and 474.5 ± 8.2 nm, respectively (Table 1). Hydrodynamic diameters were markedly higher than the reported physical dimensions, and the relatively high polydispersity indices suggest a high degree of agglomeration in the water dispersant. Similarly, evidence of possible agglomeration was observed for the <100 nm manganese-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs as previously reported [26]. All of the TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs had negative zeta potentials in the water dispersant, and the measurements ranged from -9.05 to -22.3 mV (Table 1). While particle interactions visualized via TEM imaging after NP deposition and drying may not accurately reflect particle agglomeration in a dispersant, a high degree of particle co-localization was observed in TEM images (Figure 1). Furthermore, the primary NPs displayed variations in morphology (Figure 1 and Figure S1), but the primary sizes appeared close to vendor reported dimensions.

Nanoparticle	Hydrodynamic Diameter (nm)	Polydispersity Index	Zeta Potential (mV)
Mn Doped (A/R)	556.40 ± 33.36	0.296	-9.05 ± 1.16
Anatase (A)	1170 ± 130.40	0.95 ± 0.06	-14.2 ± 0.75
Rutile (R)	474.47 ± 8.18	0.40 ± 0.03	-22.27 ± 0.78

**Table 1:** TiO<sub>2</sub> physical characterization by dynamic light scattering

Dilute dispersions of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in water were analyzed in a Malvern Zetasizer. The hydrodynamic diameter, polydispersity indices, and zeta potentials are all reported (mean ± SD, n = 3).




**Figure 1:** TiO<sub>2</sub> physical characterization by TEM.

TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were dispersed in acetone/water prior to deposition on grids for TEM analysis. The images of anatase (A), rutile (B), and 1% Mn-doped (C) particles show evidence for agglomeration. Scale bar 200 nm.

### TiO<sub>2</sub> induced keratinocyte cytotoxicity and ROS generation


HaCaTs are an immortalized human keratinocyte cell line that is commonly used for *in vitro* studies of skin toxicity or irritation. In all TiO<sub>2</sub> NP experiments, the particles were dispersed in water prior to addition to fetal bovine serum supplemented cell culture media. The cells were exposed to 0, 5, 10, 50, 100, or 500 µg/mL TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs for 24 hours, after which an ATP indicator was used to assess cell viability. Results show that a 24 hour exposure (Figure 2) the TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs caused dose-dependent decreases in viability. The increased cytotoxicity became significant at 50 µg/mL, and there was no observed difference in the cytotoxicity induced by anatase, rutile, or Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs. Conversely, there were compositional-dependent differences in ROS generation, measured with a fluorescent ROS indicator, after 24 hours. While both pure anatase and rutile particles induced mild oxidative stress, the Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs markedly increased ROS generation at high-concentration exposures (Figure 3). To examine whether the increased ROS production observed at the 24-hour time point would induce a delayed cytotoxic response, a 48-hour cell

viability assay was conducted. However, no differences in cytotoxicity were observed for any of the three TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs tested (Figure S2). As mentioned previously, *in vitro* keratinocyte cytotoxicity could be indicative of potential dermal toxicity. Therefore, these TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs were exposed to murine skin in healthy or allergic contact dermatitis models.



**Figure 2:** TiO<sub>2</sub> induced keratinocyte cytotoxicity after 24 hours.

HaCaTs were exposed to 0, 5, 10, 50, 100, or 500 µg/mL concentrations of anatase, rutile, or 1% Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles for 24 hours. Dose-dependent decreases in cell viability were observed for all TiO<sub>2</sub> particles tested (mean ± SD, n = 3).




**Figure 3:** TiO<sub>2</sub> induced keratinocyte ROS generation after 24 hours.

HaCaTs were exposed to 0, 5, 10, 50, 100, or 500 µg/mL concentrations of anatase, rutile, or 1% Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles for 24 hours. While there were significant increases in ROS for all TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, Sigma 1% Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub>-induced ROS generation was markedly higher than either pure TiO<sub>2</sub> NP (mean ± SD, n = 3).

### TiO<sub>2</sub> exacerbation of DNFB-induced allergic contact dermatitis

Our lab has previously observed the exacerbation of 2, 4-dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB)-induced ear swelling in allergic contact hypersensitivity (CHS) mouse models after topical exposure to Mn-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs [25]. To confirm that this effect occurs at concentrations that are relevant to human exposures, the ear swelling responses of all three TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs were examined *in vivo*. The allergic contact dermatitis mouse model consisted of topical DNFB exposures to hairless

mice backcrossed onto C57BL/6 mice for 6 generations. The mice were sensitized with 0.05% DNFB on the dorsum five days prior to challenge with 0.2% DNFB on the ears. The right ears of each mouse were exposed to only DNFB, and the left ears were coexposed to DNFB plus 200  $\mu\text{g}$  of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs. Additionally, a set of mice received only vehicle to examine the effects of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs alone. The 200  $\mu\text{g}$  dose was selected based on current FDA recommendations for sunscreen application (2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) and a typical concentration of  $\text{TiO}_2$  in UVR filters in commercial sunscreens (10% by weight). Results showed there was no effect of the  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs on ear swelling without DNFB, which indicates that these particles are not skin irritants. However, the Mn-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs significantly increased the level of DNFB-induced ear swelling (Figure 4) as previously observed, albeit as a different dose [25].



**Figure 4:**  $\text{TiO}_2$  exacerbation of DNFB-induced allergic contact dermatitis.

Mice were sensitized with 0.05% DNFB five days prior to challenge with either vehicle or 0.2% DNFB. For each mouse, the right ear was exposed to vehicle (gray bars) and the left ear was exposed to 200  $\mu\text{g}$  of  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanoparticles (black bars). After 24 hours, the  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanoparticles had no effect on skin swelling without DNFB challenge. However, Sigma Mn-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanoparticles significantly increased DNFB-induced ear swelling (mean  $\pm$  SD, n=3-6).

## Discussion

*In vitro* exposures of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs to a keratinocyte cell line led to dose-dependent decreases in cell viability that were not dependent on NP composition. Interestingly, the Mn-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs induced significantly more intracellular ROS generation than either pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP variants. These observations were indicative of potential dermal toxicity, but *in vivo* studies displayed no signs of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP skin irritation in the CHS model. However, Mn-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs did exacerbate skin swelling responses in a model of allergic contact dermatitis.

The allergic contact dermatitis response involves both innate and adaptive immune responses. Briefly, chemical haptens (such as DNFB) generate ROS and bind to proteins [27]. The ROS production is an important step in the activation of antigen presenting cells that express immune cytokines and present hapten-protein adducts [28,29]. This initial response is followed by mast cell degranulation and neutrophil influx to the skin [30,31]. Lastly, skin swelling and keratinocyte cell death occur in a cytotoxic and helper T cell-mediated manner [32-34]. While additional studies are required to elucidate

the mechanism of action of Mn-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP exacerbation of allergic contact dermatitis, it is plausible that increases in intracellular ROS are involved. It is well established that Mn-induced neurotoxicity, commonly observed after occupational exposures, involves ROS generation [35]. Moreover, there is evidence of Mn hypersensitivity and irritation responses in skin patch tests [36,37]. Therefore, Mn ion dissolution and skin penetration is a plausible mechanism of action.

There was no  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP-induced skin irritation identified in this study, which is a finding similar to other reports of pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP skin administration [38]. Additionally, there is no evidence in the literature of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP-induced sensitization after topical administration [39], which is expected given the widespread use of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs in consumer products. However,  $\text{TiO}_2$ -related effects on allergic skin models have been reported. One set of studies in 2, 4-dinitrochlorobenzene-induced allergic contact dermatitis models displayed significant increases in sensitization potential, measured by local lymph node assays, after  $\text{TiO}_2$  subcutaneous or topical administration [40,41]. A second research group observed increases in skin lesion severity after intradermal injection of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs (15, 50, or 100 nm) in a mite allergen-induced mouse model of atopic dermatitis [42]. While the results presented here do not suggest allergic contact dermatitis exacerbation by pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs, sensitization potentials were not studied. Additionally, discrepancies between these results could be explained by differences in particle morphology, dose, or animal model.

It remains unclear whether the *in vivo* effects of Mn-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs are dependent on skin penetration. Some studies in mouse models report minimal  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP skin penetration [43] and provided the skin barrier disruption that occurs during allergic contact dermatitis reactions [44], skin penetration is plausible. However, differences between mouse and human skin physiology, including thickness and hair follicle density, make interspecies comparisons difficult [45,46]. Future studies will include determination of both Mn ion release from the metal-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs and  $\text{TiO}_2$  NP penetration in mouse and human skin models.

Overall, the lack of observed *in vivo* dermal toxicity for pure anatase or pure rutile  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs is consistent with previously reported data. However, the  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs tested here may not accurately reflect those found in consumer products and sunscreens. Therefore, additional studies examining  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs extracted from consumer products are warranted. Moreover, this work suggests that occupationally relevant, metal-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs represent a greater health hazard and future research will examine not only mechanisms of action but also toxicities associated with  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs doped with other commonly used transition metals.

## Methods

### Particle Characterization

Pure anatase (30 nm, >99.9% purity, Cat# US3498) or rutile (30 nm, >99.9% purity, Cat# US3520) titanium dioxide nanoparticles were purchased from US Research Nanomaterials, and an anatase dominant manganese-doped titanium dioxide (<100 nm, 1% Mn dopant, Cat# 677469) nanoparticle was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The nanoparticles were dispersed in ultrapure water prior to physical characterization. The physical size and shape were qualitatively examined via TEM, and a Malvern Zetasizer was used to measure hydrodynamic diameters and zeta potentials.

## DNFB and TiO<sub>2</sub> *in vitro* exposure

An immortalized keratinocyte cell line (HaCaTs) was grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco Cat# 11965-092) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco Cat# 10082-147)/1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco Cat# 15140-122). The HaCaTs were seeded into 96-well plates and incubated in a 37° C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. All analyses were conducted in cells in a logarithmic growth phase (70% confluence).

All cells were exposed to 0, 5, 10, 50, 100, or 500 µg/mL TiO<sub>2</sub> for 24 or 48 hours. A Cell Titer-Glo assay (Promega Cat# G7571), which includes a luminescent ATP indicator, was used to measure cell viability. Briefly, 50 µL of CellTiter-Glo reagent was added to each well, and after 15 minutes the luminescence was measured with a Turner Biosystems Modulus microplate reader. For ROS measurements, the cells were incubated for 30 minutes in a 10 µM solution of 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# D399) in sterile 1x phosphate buffered saline. After this pre-conditioning period, the cells were exposed to either vehicle or TiO<sub>2</sub> for 24 hours. Conversion of H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA to the fluorescent 2',7'-Dichlorofluorescein (DCF), an indicator of intracellular ROS, was measured on a fluorescence plate reader.

## DNFB and TiO<sub>2</sub> *in vivo* exposure

All *in vivo* experiments utilized an immunocompetent, hairless C57BL/6 mouse strain. These mice have a gene mutation that causes alopecia after the first follicular maturation. Therefore, these mice do not require potentially barrier disrupting depilation prior to topical exposures. Male mice between 5-6 months old were kept on a 12 hour light/dark cycle, and they were provided with food and water ad libitum throughout the study period. We have previously reported that DNFB reactions are age, but not sex-dependent [25,47]. On day 0, mice were sensitized on the dorsum with 30 µL of 0.05% DNFB (Sigma-Aldrich Cat# D1529) in a 4:1 acetone/olive oil vehicle. Five days later, 20 µL of 0.2% DNFB (with or without 200 µg TiO<sub>2</sub>) was applied to each ear, and increases in ear thickness were measured 24 hours post-challenge. A separate group of mice were challenged with vehicle (with or without 200 µg TiO<sub>2</sub>) to examine potential skin swelling effects of TiO<sub>2</sub> alone. *In vivo* experiments were approved by the University Committee on Animal Resources (UCAR#2010-024/100360) at the University of Rochester Medical Center.

## Statistics

All statistics were analyzed with JMP Pro version 13.2.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). All *in vitro* data were analyzed by a two-way analysis of variance with post-hoc Tukey tests. Alternatively, *in vivo* data were analyzed by a Student's T-test, since the ear swelling data compared only the right (DNFB challenged) and left (DNFB + TiO<sub>2</sub> challenged) ears of each mouse. P-values ≤0.05 were considered significant and represented by an \* (significance compared to control) or # (significance within a group). All data were presented as means ± standard deviation.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Karen Bentley of the URMC electron microscope research core facility for help with NP TEM imaging. Additionally, we thank Ian Krout, Joseph Lucas, Jakob Gundersen, and Ashley Peppriell for technical assistance with the *in vitro*



experiments. The work was supported by both the NIH NIEHS training grant ES07026 and the NIH NIEHS research grant RO1 ES021492.

## References

1. Weir A, Westerhoff P, Fabricius L, Hristovski K, von Goetz N (2012) Titanium dioxide nanoparticles in food and personal care products. *Environ Sci Technol* 46: 2242-2250.
2. Bai Y, Mora-Seró I, De Angelis F, Bisquert J, Wang P (2014) Titanium dioxide nanomaterials for photovoltaic applications. *Chemical Reviews* 114: 10095-10130.
3. Vujovic M, Kostic E (2019) Titanium dioxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles in sunscreens: A review of toxicological data. *Journal of cosmetic science* 70: 223-234.
4. Dreno B, Alexis A, Chuberre B, Marinovich M (2019) Safety of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in cosmetics. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 33: 34-46.
5. Sharma S, Sharma RK, Gaur K, Torres JFC, Loza-Rosas SA, et al. (2019) Fueling a hot debate on the application of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in sunscreen. *Materials (Basel, Switzerland)* 12: 2317.
6. Saqib NU, Adnan R, Shah I (2016) A mini-review on rare earth metal-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> for photocatalytic remediation of wastewater. *Environmental science and pollution research international* 23: 15941-15951.
7. Luttrell T, Halpegamage S, Tao J, Kramer A, Sutter E, et al. (2014) Why is anatase a better photocatalyst than rutile?--Model studies on epitaxial TiO<sub>2</sub> films. *Sci Rep* 4: 4043-4043.
8. Wang L, Fan J, Cao Z, Zheng Y, Yao Z, et al. (2014) Fabrication of predominantly Mn<sup>2+</sup>-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles under equilibrium conditions and their application as visible-light photocatalysts. *Chemistry, an Asian journal* 9: 1904-1912.
9. Praveen P, Viruthagiri G, Mugundan S, Shanmugam N (2014) Sol-gel synthesis and characterization of pure and manganese doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles--a new NLO active material. *Spectrochimica Acta. Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* 120: 548-557.
10. Chauhan R, Kumar A, Chaudhary RP (2012) Structural and photocatalytic studies of Mn doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. *Spectrochimica Acta. Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* 98: 256-264.
11. Xue, X, Ji W, Mao Z, Li Z, Ruan W, et al. (2012) Effects of Mn doping on surface enhanced Raman scattering properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. *Spectrochimica Acta. Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* 95: 213-217.
12. Shen B, Liu T, Zhao N, Yang X, Deng L (2010) Iron-doped Mn-Ce/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for low temperature selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH<sub>3</sub>. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 22: 1447-1454.
13. Xu Y, Lei B, Guo L, Zhou W, Liu Y (2008) Preparation, characterization and photocatalytic activity of manganese doped TiO<sub>2</sub> immobilized on silica gel. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 160: 78-82.
14. United States Food and Drug Administration (2019) Washington D.C., USA.
15. De Matteis V, Cascione M, Brunetti V, Toma CC, Rinaldi R (2016) Toxicity assessment of anatase and rutile titanium dioxide nanoparticles: The role of degradation in different pH conditions and light exposure. *Toxicol In Vitro* 37: 201-210.
16. Turci F, Peira E, Corazzari I, Fenoglio I, Trotta M, et al. (2013) Crystalline phase modulates the potency of nanometric TiO<sub>2</sub> to adhere to and perturb the stratum corneum of porcine skin under indoor light. *Chem Res Toxicol* 26: 1579-1590.

17. Sayes CM, Wahi R, Kurian PA, Liu Y, West JL, et al. (2006) Correlating nanoscale titania structure with toxicity: A cytotoxicity and inflammatory response study with human dermal fibroblasts and human lung epithelial cells. *Toxicol Sci* 92: 174-185.
18. Xue C, Wu J, Lan F, Liu W, Yang X, et al. (2010) Nano titanium dioxide induces the generation of ROS and potential damage in HaCaT cells under UVA irradiation. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* 10: 8500-8507.
19. Yin JJ, Liu J, Ehrenshaft M, Roberts JE, Fu PP, et al. (2012) Phototoxicity of nano titanium dioxides in HaCaT keratinocytes--generation of reactive oxygen species and cell damage. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 263: 81-88.
20. Horie, M, Sakiko S, Haruhisa K, Yosuke T, AyakoN, et al. (2016) Does photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles correspond to photo-cytotoxicity? Cellular uptake of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles is important in their photo-cytotoxicity. *Toxicology mechanisms and methods* 26: 284-294.
21. Xie G, Lu W, Lu D (2015) Penetration of titanium dioxide nanoparticles through slightly damaged skin in vitro and in vivo. *J Appl Biomater Funct Mater* 13: 356-361.
22. Adachi K, Yamada N, Yoshida Y, Yamamoto O (2013) Subchronic exposure of titanium dioxide nanoparticles to hairless rat skin. *Exp Dermatol* 22: 278-283.
23. Adachi K, Yamada N, Yamamoto K, Yoshida Y, Yamamoto O (2010) *In vivo* effect of industrial titanium dioxide nanoparticles experimentally exposed to hairless rat skin. *Nanotoxicology* 4: 296-306.
24. Federman DG, Kirsner RS, Concato J (2014) Sunscreen counseling by US physicians. *JAMA* 312: 87-88.
25. Jatana S, Palmer BC, Phelan SJ, De Louise LA (2017) Immunomodulatory effects of nanoparticles on skin allergy. *Sci Rep* 7: 3979.
26. Ravichandran S, Sullivan MA, Callahan LM, Bentley KL, DeLouise LA (2015) Development and characterization of antibody reagents for detecting nanoparticles. *Nanoscale* 7: 20042-20054.
27. Esser PR, Wölfle U, Dürr C, von Loewenich FD, Schempp CM, et al. (2012) Contact sensitizers induce skin inflammation via ROS production and hyaluronic acid degradation. *PLoS one* 7: 41340.
28. Honda T, Nakajima S, Egawa G, Ogasawara K, Malissen B, et al. (2010) Compensatory role of Langerhans cells and langerin-positive dermal dendritic cells in the sensitization phase of murine contact hypersensitivity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 125: 1154-1156.
29. Gros E, Novak N (2012) Cutaneous dendritic cells in allergic inflammation. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 42: 1161-1175.
30. Weber FC, Németh T, Csepregi JZ, Dudeck A, Roers A, et al. (2015) Neutrophils are required for both the sensitization and elicitation phase of contact hypersensitivity. *J Exp Med* 212: 15-22.
31. Otsuka A, Kabashima K (2015) Mast cells and basophils in cutaneous immune responses. *Allergy* 70: 131-140.
32. Honda T, Egawa G, Grabbe S, Kabashima K (2013) Update of immune events in the murine contact hypersensitivity model: Toward the understanding of allergic contact dermatitis. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 133: 303-315.
33. Vocanson M, Hennino A, Cluzel-Tailhardat M, Saint-Mezard P, Benetiere J, et al. (2006) CD8+ T cells are effector cells of contact dermatitis to common skin allergens in mice. *The Journal of investigative dermatology* 126: 815-820.
34. Vocanson M, Hennino A, Chavagnac C, Saint-Mezard P, Dubois B, et al. (2005) Contribution of CD<sub>4</sub>(+) and CD<sub>8</sub>(+) T-cells in contact hypersensitivity and allergic contact dermatitis. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 1: 75-86.
35. Martinez-Finley EJ, Gavin CE, Aschner M, Gunter TE (2013) Manganese neurotoxicity and the role of reactive oxygen species. *Free Radic Biol Med* 62: 65-75.
36. Watchmaker J, Collins R, Chaney K (2015) Allergic contact dermatitis to manganese in metallic implant. *Dermatitis* 26: 149-150.
37. Shallcross L, Ritchie S, Harberts E, Tammaro A, Gaitens J, et al. (2014) Manganese oxidation state as a cause of irritant patch test reactions. *Dermatitis* 25: 66-71.
38. Park YH, Jeong SH, Yi SM, Choi BH, Kim Y-R, et al. (2011) Analysis for the potential of polystyrene and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles to induce skin irritation, phototoxicity, and sensitization. *Toxicol In Vitro* 25: 1863-1869.
39. Auttachoat W, McLoughlin CE, White KL, Smith MJ (2014) Route-dependent systemic and local immune effects following exposure to solutions prepared from titanium dioxide nanoparticles. *J Immunotoxicol* 11: 273-282.
40. Smulders S, Golanski L, Smolders E, Vanoirbeek J, Hoet PH (2015) Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> modulates the dermal sensitization potency of dinitrochlorobenzene after topical exposure. *Br J Dermatol* 172: 392-399.
41. Hussain S, Smulders S, Vooght VD, Ectors B, Boland S, et al. (2012) Nano-titanium dioxide modulates the dermal sensitization potency of DNCB. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 9: 15.
42. Yanagisawa R, Takano H, Inoue K-I, Koike E, Kamachi T, et al. (2009) Titanium dioxide nanoparticles aggravate atopic dermatitis-like skin lesions in NC/Nga mice. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 234: 314-322.
43. Wu J, Liu W, Xue C, Zhou S, Lan F, et al. (2009) Toxicity and penetration of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in hairless mice and porcine skin after subchronic dermal exposure. *Toxicol Lett* 191: 1-8.
44. Proksch E, Brasch J (2012) Abnormal epidermal barrier in the pathogenesis of contact dermatitis. *Clin Dermatol* 30: 335-344.
45. Wei JCJ, Edwards GA, Martin DJ, Huang H, Crichton ML, et al. (2017) Allometric scaling of skin thickness, elasticity, viscoelasticity to mass for micro-medical device translation: from mice, rats, rabbits, pigs to humans. *Sci Rep* 7: 15885.
46. Monteiro-Riviere NA, Bristol DG, Manning TO, Rogers RA, Riviere JE (1990) Interspecies and interregional analysis of the comparative histologic thickness and laser doppler blood flow measurements at five cutaneous sites in nine species. *The Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 95: 582-586.
47. Palmer BC, Jatana S, Phelan-Dickinson SJ, DeLouise LA (2019) Amorphous silicon dioxide nanoparticles modulate immune responses in a model of allergic contact dermatitis. *Sci Rep* 9: 5085.

## Supplementary Figures





- Advances In Industrial Biotechnology | ISSN: 2639-5665
- Advances In Microbiology Research | ISSN: 2689-694X
- Archives Of Surgery And Surgical Education | ISSN: 2689-3126
- Archives Of Urology
- Archives Of Zoological Studies | ISSN: 2640-7779
- Current Trends Medical And Biological Engineering
- International Journal Of Case Reports And Therapeutic Studies | ISSN: 2689-310X
- Journal Of Addiction & Addictive Disorders | ISSN: 2578-7276
- Journal Of Agronomy & Agricultural Science | ISSN: 2689-8292
- Journal Of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs | ISSN: 2572-7370
- Journal Of Alcoholism Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence | ISSN: 2572-9594
- Journal Of Allergy Disorders & Therapy | ISSN: 2470-749X
- Journal Of Alternative Complementary & Integrative Medicine | ISSN: 2470-7562
- Journal Of Alzheimers & Neurodegenerative Diseases | ISSN: 2572-9608
- Journal Of Anesthesia & Clinical Care | ISSN: 2378-8879
- Journal Of Angiology & Vascular Surgery | ISSN: 2572-7397
- Journal Of Animal Research & Veterinary Science | ISSN: 2639-3751
- Journal Of Aquaculture & Fisheries | ISSN: 2576-5523
- Journal Of Atmospheric & Earth Sciences | ISSN: 2689-8780
- Journal Of Biotech Research & Biochemistry
- Journal Of Brain & Neuroscience Research
- Journal Of Cancer Biology & Treatment | ISSN: 2470-7546
- Journal Of Cardiology Study & Research | ISSN: 2640-768X
- Journal Of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism | ISSN: 2381-1943
- Journal Of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy | ISSN: 2378-8771
- Journal Of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy | ISSN: 2378-8844
- Journal Of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports | ISSN: 2378-8801
- Journal Of Community Medicine & Public Health Care | ISSN: 2381-1978
- Journal Of Cytology & Tissue Biology | ISSN: 2378-9107
- Journal Of Dairy Research & Technology | ISSN: 2688-9315
- Journal Of Dentistry Oral Health & Cosmesis | ISSN: 2473-6783
- Journal Of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders | ISSN: 2381-201X
- Journal Of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care | ISSN: 2378-8798
- Journal Of Environmental Science Current Research | ISSN: 2643-5020
- Journal Of Food Science & Nutrition | ISSN: 2470-1076
- Journal Of Forensic Legal & Investigative Sciences | ISSN: 2473-733X
- Journal Of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research | ISSN: 2574-2566
- Journal Of Genetics & Genomic Sciences | ISSN: 2574-2485
- Journal Of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine | ISSN: 2381-8662
- Journal Of Hematology Blood Transfusion & Disorders | ISSN: 2572-2999
- Journal Of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care
- Journal Of Human Endocrinology | ISSN: 2572-9640
- Journal Of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases | ISSN: 2381-8654
- Journal Of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare | ISSN: 2574-2493
- Journal Of Light & Laser Current Trends
- Journal Of Medicine Study & Research | ISSN: 2639-5657
- Journal Of Modern Chemical Sciences
- Journal Of Nanotechnology Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology | ISSN: 2381-2044
- Journal Of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics | ISSN: 2378-878X
- Journal Of Nephrology & Renal Therapy | ISSN: 2473-7313
- Journal Of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation | ISSN: 2572-7400
- Journal Of Nuclear Medicine Radiology & Radiation Therapy | ISSN: 2572-7419
- Journal Of Obesity & Weight Loss | ISSN: 2473-7372
- Journal Of Ophthalmology & Clinical Research | ISSN: 2378-8887
- Journal Of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy | ISSN: 2381-2052
- Journal Of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery | ISSN: 2573-010X
- Journal Of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research
- Journal Of Pharmacology Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance | ISSN: 2639-5649
- Journal Of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation & Disabilities | ISSN: 2381-8670
- Journal Of Plant Science Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3743
- Journal Of Practical & Professional Nursing | ISSN: 2639-5681
- Journal Of Protein Research & Bioinformatics
- Journal Of Psychiatry Depression & Anxiety | ISSN: 2573-0150
- Journal Of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research | ISSN: 2573-0177
- Journal Of Reproductive Medicine Gynaecology & Obstetrics | ISSN: 2574-2574
- Journal Of Stem Cells Research Development & Therapy | ISSN: 2381-2060
- Journal Of Surgery Current Trends & Innovations | ISSN: 2578-7284
- Journal Of Toxicology Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3735
- Journal Of Translational Science And Research
- Journal Of Vaccines Research & Vaccination | ISSN: 2573-0193
- Journal Of Virology & Antivirals
- Sports Medicine And Injury Care Journal | ISSN: 2689-8829
- Trends In Anatomy & Physiology | ISSN: 2640-7752

Submit Your Manuscript: <https://www.heraldopenaccess.us/submit-manuscript>